Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A method for training a system to inspect a spatially distorted pattern, the method comprising:

receiving a digitized image of an object, the digitized image including a region of interest;

dividing the region of interest in its entirety into a plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions, a size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions being small enough such that a <u>conventional</u> an <u>image-based</u> inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the sub-regions;

training a <u>fine</u> search tool and an <u>image-based</u> inspection tool for a respective single model for each of the plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions;

building a single search tree for determining an order for inspecting each non-overlapping sub-region of the plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions at a run-time; and

training a coarse alignment tool for the region of interest in its entirety so as to enable providing at run time an approximate location for a root sub-region of the single search tree.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is small enough such that each of the sub-regions is <u>well-approximated</u> by an affine transformation.

Claim 3 (currently amended): The method of claim 1, wherein the building of the single search tree comprises:

establishing the order so that transformation location information for located ones of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is used to minimize a search range for neighboring ones of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions.

Claim 4 (currently amended): The method of claim 1, wherein the training of the fine search tool for the respective single model for each of the plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions is performed by using a correlation search.

Claim 5 (currently amended): The method of claim 1, wherein the training of the image-based inspection tool for the respective single model for each of the plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions is performed by using a golden template comparison method.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 6 (currently amended): A method for inspecting a spatially distorted pattern, the method comprising:

running a coarse alignment tool to approximately locate the <u>spatially</u> <u>distorted</u> pattern <u>in its entirety</u> within a region of interest <u>so as to provide an</u> approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree;

running a fine alignment tool in an order according to the single search tree, using search tree information of a single search tree and an using the approximate location of a the root sub-region, found by the coarse alignment tool, to locate, sequentially in an order according to the search tree information, a plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions within the region of interest so as to provide fine location information, the non-overlapping sub-regions covering the region of interest in its entirety, each of the non-overlapping sub-regions being of a size small enough such that a cenventional an image-based inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the non-overlapping sub-regions using respective single models;

inspecting each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions <u>using the fine location</u>
information and the image-based inspecting method so as to produce a
difference image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 7 (currently amended): The method of claim 6, further comprising:

comparing the fine location information with model location information so as to provide a distortion vector for each non-overlapping sub-region;

combining all location information <u>distortion vectors</u>, one for each nonoverlapping sub-region, so as to produce a distortion vector field for each of the sub-regions; and

using the distortion vector fields <u>field</u> to make a pass/fail decision based on user-specified tolerances.

Claim 8 (currently amended): The method of claim 6, wherein:

the inspecting <u>using the fine location information and the image-based</u>
<u>inspecting method produces a match image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> subregions, the method further comprising:</u>

combining the difference images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> subregions into a single difference image; and

combining the match images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single match image.

Claim 9 (currently amended): The method of claim 7, wherein:

inspecting using the fine location information and the image-based inspecting method produces a difference image for each of the non-overlapping sub-regions and a match image for each of the non-overlapping sub-regions, the method further comprising:

combining the difference images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> subregions into a single difference image;

combining the match images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single match image;

comparing the fine location information with model location information so as to provide a distortion vector for each non-overlapping sub-region; and

combining all locations information distortion vectors, one for each nonoverlapping sub-region, so as to produce a distortion vector field for each of the sub-regions.

Claim 10 (currently amended): The method according to claim 6, wherein the size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is small enough such that each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is well approximated by an affine transformation.

Claim 11 (currently amended): The method of claim 6, further comprising:

using transformation the fine location information from located ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions to interpolate transformation location information for a non-overlapping sub-region when the non-overlapping sub-region cannot be located; and

inspecting the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-region based on the interpolated transformation <u>location</u> information.

Claim 12 (currently amended): The method of claim 6, further comprising:

using the respective <u>single</u> models for at least some of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions to determine respective transformation <u>fine location</u> information; and

predicting registration results fine location information in at least one of the non-overlapping sub-regions by using the respective transformation fine location information of neighboring ones of the at least some of the non-overlapping sub-regions when the at least one of the non-overlapping sub-regions cannot be located by running the fine alignment tool training of the search tool for the respective single model for the at least one of the sub-regions was not successfully performed.

Claim 13 (currently amended): The method of claim 6, wherein the inspecting of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions <u>using an image-based inspecting</u>

<u>method</u> is performed by a golden-template comparison method.

Claim 14 (currently amended): An apparatus for inspecting a spatially distorted pattern, the apparatus comprising:

a memory for storing a digitized image of an object;

a region divider for dividing the digitized image of a region of interest in its entirety into a plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions, the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions covering the region of interest completely, a size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions being small enough such that a conventional <u>an image-based</u> inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions;

a coarse alignment mechanism tool for approximately locating the pattern so as to provide an approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree;

a <u>fine</u> search <u>mechanism</u> <u>tool</u> for locating each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions sequentially in an order based on a <u>the</u> single search tree; and an <u>image-based</u> inspector for inspecting each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 15 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 14, further comprising:

a vector field producer to combine all location information to produce a distortion vector field for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions; and

a comparing mechanism for using the distortion vector field to make a pass/fail decision based on user specified tolerances.

Claim 16 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 14, wherein:

the <u>image-based</u> inspector for inspecting each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions produces a difference image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions and a match image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions, the apparatus further comprises:

a first combiner for combining the difference images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single difference image; and

a second combiner for combining the match images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single match image.

Claim 17 (currently amended): The apparatus according to claim 14, wherein the size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is small enough such that each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is <u>well approximated</u> <u>well-approximated</u> by an affine transformation.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 18 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 14, further comprising:

an interpolation interpolator for using transformation location information
from located ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions to interpolate
transformation location information for a non-overlapping sub-region when the
non-overlapping sub-region cannot be located by the fine search mechanism
tool; wherein

the <u>image-based</u> inspector inspects the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-region based on the interpolated <u>transformation</u> <u>location</u> information.

Claim 19 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 14, further comprising:

an interpolator for using the respective models for at least some of the

non-overlapping sub-regions to determine respective transformation location
information, and for predicting registration results location information in at least
one of the non-overlapping sub-regions by using the respective transformation
location information of neighboring ones of the at least some of the nonoverlapping sub-regions when the at least one of the non-overlapping subregions cannot be located training of the respective single model for the at least
one of the sub-regions was not successfully performed.

Claim 20 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 14, wherein the <u>image-based</u> inspector inspects each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions by using a golden-template comparison method.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 21 (currently amended): An apparatus for inspecting a spatially distorted pattern, the apparatus comprising:

a storage for storing a digitized image of an object, the digitized image including a region of interest;

a region divider for dividing the region of interest in its entirety into a plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions, a size of each of the non-overlapping sub-regions being small enough such that a conventional an image-based inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the non-overlapping sub-regions;

a trainer for training a respective single model for a <u>fine</u> search tool and for an <u>image-based inspection tool inspector</u> for each of the plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions;

a search tree builder for building a single search tree for determining an order for <u>image-based</u> inspecting <u>of</u> each sub-region of the plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions at a run time;

a course alignment trainer;

a course alignment mechanism tool for approximately locating the pattern so as to provide an approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree, the coarse alignment mechanism tool being configured to be trained by the coarse alignment trainer;

a <u>fine</u> search <u>mechanism tool</u> for locating each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions sequentially in an order based on the <u>single</u> search tree, a <u>the</u> root

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

sub-region of the single search tree being provided by the coarse alignment mechanism tool; and

an <u>image-based</u> inspector for inspecting each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> subregions.

Claim 22 (currently amended): The apparatus according to claim 21, further comprising:

a vector field producer to combine all location information to produce a distortion vector field for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions; and

a comparing mechanism for using the distortion vector fields to make a pass/fail decision based on user specified tolerances.

Claim 23 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 21, wherein:

the <u>image-based</u> inspector produces a difference image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions and a match image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions, the apparatus further comprises:

a first combiner for combining the differences images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single difference image; and

a second combiner for combining the match images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single match image.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 24 (currently amended): The apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is small enough such that each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions is well approximated by an affine transformation.

Claim 25 (currently amended): The method of claim 21, wherein the building of the single search tree comprises:

establishing the order so that transformation location information for located ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions is used to minimize a search range for neighboring ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions.

Claim 26 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 21, further comprising:

an interpolator for using transformation location information from located ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions to interpolate transformation location information for a non-overlapping sub-region when the sub-region cannot be located, wherein

the <u>image-based</u> inspector inspects the previously unlocated <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-region based on the interpolated transformation <u>location</u> information.

Claim 27 (currently amended): A medium having a stored therein machine-readable information, such that when the machine-readable information is read into a memory of a computer and executed, the machine-readable information causes the computer:

to receive a digitized image of an object, the digitized image including a region of interest;

to divide the region of interest in its entirety into a plurality of nonoverlapping sub-regions, a size of each of the non-overlapping sub-regions being small enough such that a conventional an image-based inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the non-overlapping sub-regions;

to train a respective single model for a <u>fine</u> search tool and for an <u>image</u> <u>based</u> inspection tool for each of the plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions;

to build a single search tree for determining an order for inspecting the plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions at a run-time; and

to train a respective model for a coarse alignment tool <u>so as to enable</u>

<u>providing at run time an approximate location for a root sub-region of the single</u>

<u>search tree</u>.

Claim 28 (currently amended): The medium of claim 27, wherein when building the <u>single</u> search tree, the machine-readable information causes the computer:

to establish the order so that transformation location information for located ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions is used to minimize a search range for neighboring ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions.

Claim 29 (currently amended): The medium of claim 27, wherein the machinereadable information further causes the computer:

to run a coarse alignment tool to approximately locate a pattern <u>so as to</u>

<u>provide an approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree.</u>;

to run a fine alignment tool in an order according to the single search tree to use information from a search tree and a using the approximate location of the root sub-region approximately located by the coarse alignment tool to locate a plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions so as to provide fine location information sequentially in an order according to the information from the search tree, each of the non-overlapping sub-regions being of a size small enough such that a conventional image-based inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the non-overlapping sub-regions; and

to <u>perform</u> <u>image-based</u> <u>inspect</u> <u>inspection of</u> each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions to produce a difference image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions and a match image for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions.

Claim 30 (currently amended): The medium of claim 29, wherein the machine-readable information further causes the computer:

to combine the difference images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> subregions into a single difference image; and

to combine the match images for each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a single match image.

Claim 31 (currently amended): The medium of claim 29, wherein the machinereadable information further causes the computer:

as to provide a distortion vector for each non-overlapping sub-region;

to combine all location information distortion vectors, one for each nonoverlapping sub-region, so as to produce a distortion vector field for each of the sub-regions; and

to use the distortion vector <u>fields</u> <u>field</u> to make a pass/fail decision based on user-specified tolerances.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 32 (currently amended): The medium of claim 27, wherein the machine-readable information further causes the computer:

to use transformation fine location information from located ones of the non-overlapping sub-regions to interpolate transformation fine location information for a non-overlapping sub-region when the non-overlapping sub-region cannot be located; and

to run a search an image-based inspection tool on the non-overlapping sub-region based on the interpolated transformation fine location information.

Claim 33 (currently amended): The method of claim 6, further comprising:

dividing one of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions into a plurality of smaller <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions when the one of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions cannot be located using a <u>fine</u> search tool.

Claim 34 (currently amended): A method for inspecting a spatially distorted pattern, the method comprising:

running a coarse alignment tool to approximately locate the pattern <u>so as</u> to provide an approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree;

running a fine alignment tool in an order according to the single search tree, using search tree information and an and using the approximate location of a the root sub-region, found by the coarse alignment tool, to locate a plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions so as to provide fine location information sequentially in an order according to the search tree information, each of the non-overlapping sub-regions being of a size small enough such that a convention an image-based inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the non-overlapping sub-regions;

comparing the fine location information with model location information so as to provide a distortion vector for each non-overlapping sub-region;

combining all location information <u>distortion vectors</u>, <u>one for each non-overlapping sub-region</u>, <u>so as</u> to produce a distortion vector field for each of the sub-regions; and

using the distortion vector <u>fields</u> field to make a pass/fail decision based on user-specified tolerances.

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Claim 35 (currently amended): An apparatus for inspecting a spatially distorted pattern, the apparatus comprising:

a memory for storing a digitized image of an object;

a region divider for dividing the digitized image of a region of interest <u>in its</u>

<u>entirety</u> into a plurality of <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions, a size of each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions being small enough such that a conventional <u>an image-based</u> inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions;

a coarse alignment mechanism tool for approximately locating the pattern so as to provide an approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree;

a <u>fine</u> search <u>mechanism</u> <u>tool</u> for locating each of the <u>non-overlapping</u> sub-regions sequentially in an order based on <u>the single</u> search tree <u>so as to provide fine location</u> information;

a vector field producer to for comparing the fine location information with model location information so as to provide a distortion vector for each non-overlapping sub-region, and for combining the distortion vectors combine all location information to produce a distortion vector field for each of the sub-regions; and

a comparing mechanism for using the distortion vector field to make a pass/fail decision based on user specified tolerances.

Claim 36 (currently amended): A medium having stored therein machine-readable information, such that when the machine-readable information is read into a memory of a computer and executed, the machine-readable information causes the computer:

to run a coarse alignment tool to approximately locate a pattern so as to provide an approximate location for a root sub-region of a single search tree;

to use run a fine alignment tool in an order according to information from a the single search tree and a using the root sub-region approximately located by the coarse alignment to locate a plurality of non-overlapping sub-regions so as to provide fine location information sequentially in an order according to the information from the search tree, each of the non-overlapping sub-regions being of a size small enough such that a convention an image-based inspecting method can reliably inspect each of the non-overlapping sub-regions;

to compare the fine location information with model location information so as to provide a distortion vector for each non-overlapping sub-region;

to combine all location information <u>distortion vectors</u>, one for each nonoverlapping sub-region, so as to produce a distortion vector field for each of the sub-regions; and

to use the distortion vector fields field to make a pass/fail decision based on user-specified tolerances.